# MODELLING GROWTH FROM WEANING TO MATURITY IN BEEF CATTLE BREEDS

M. J. Zimmermann, L. A. Kuehn, M. L. Spangler, R. M. Thallman, W. M. Snelling & R. M. Lewis





USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Background

### Industry problems:

- 1) Concern about cattle getting too big in mature weight:
  - 1972 Average weight of choice steers 509.8 kg<sup>1</sup>
    2017 Average weight of federally inspected cattle 621.0 kg<sup>2</sup>
  - DMI increases with increased body size
- 2) Cannot directly compare EPD from different breeds
  - Different genetic trends, sampling, base years, etc.
  - Across-Breed Adjustment Factors not available for mature size.

<sup>1</sup> USDA-ERS, Commodity Economic Division. 1973. Livestock and meat situation. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/ers/LMS//1970s/1973/LMS-08-17-1973.pdf (Accessed 24 March 2017.) <sup>2</sup> USDA-NASS. 2017. Livestock slaughter. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/nass/LiveSlau//2010s/2017/LiveSlau-03-23-2017.pdf (Accessed 24 March 2017.)

# Objective

- Characterize and compare growth to maturity in beef cattle breeds as a first step towards enabling more informed breed utilization:
  - Breed types: British, Continental, and Brahman-Influenced
  - Functions: Brody, spline, and quadratic
  - **Measures compared:** weaning weight, maturing constant, and mature weight



United States Department of Agriculture

## Data Source

### • Germ Plasm Evaluation Program (GPE)

- Located at United States Meat Animal Research Center (**USMARC**) in Clay Center, Nebraska, USA
- Began 1969: Influx of new breeds = need to evaluate incoming breeds for economically important traits
- Crossbred herd representing current industry in several cycles & continuous sampling



United States Department of Agriculture

## Data Source

- After all editing: 102,177 weight records on 4,721 crossbred GPE cows born between 1999 and 2014
  - Dams = mostly Angus, Hereford, and MARC III (1/4 each Angus, Hereford, Pinzgauer, and Red Poll)
  - Sires = Sampled from industry

# Editing Rules for Weights

- Weight records truncated at:
  - Feed restriction study
  - Gaps greater than two years between subsequent records
  - 6 years of age
- Birth weight records removed
- Full records removed if cow did not have records in in herd past 3 years of age

# **Model Fitting**

• Calculate model parameters:

- Brody:  $W_t = A[1 e^{-k(t-t^*)}]$  using nonlinear least squares function in R (R Core Team, 2017)
- Spline (1<sup>st</sup> degree): segmented package in R (Muggeo, 2008)
  - Intercept estimate before knot approximates weaning weight
  - Used estimates after knot to predict mature weight at 6 years of age
- Quadratic: linear model function in R (R Core Team, 2017)
  - Intercept estimate approximates weaning weight
  - Used coefficients to predict mature weight at 6 years of age

# Model Fitting

A visual example of the three curves, fit to one cow's data.



<u>KEY</u> Brody Quadratic Spline

# Editing Rules for Parameter Estimates

- Individual's parameter estimates removed if:
  - Pedigree data was missing
  - Any model did not converge
  - Any mature weight estimate was more extreme than 2.2 IQR units from the mean

# **Determining Breed Effects and Heterosis**

- 1. Estimate breed fraction and heterosis from pedigree
- 2. Determine breed estimates for each parameter using linear model:

parameter estimate = breed fraction + heterosis + CG + error

- 3. Calculate weighted average of each breed type:
  - British: Angus, Hereford, Red Angus, Shorthorn, Chiangus
  - Continental: Braunvieh, Charolais, Gelbvieh, Limousin, Maine Anjou, Salers, Simmental
  - Brahman-Influenced: Beefmaster, Brahman, Brangus, Santa Gertrudis

### Results Weaning Weight Estimates



### Results

#### Maturing Constant Estimates



### Results

Mature Weight Estimates



### Results

#### **Direct Heterosis**



# Conclusions

- Breed types similar at both weaning and maturity within each function.
  - Weaning: Continental breeds tended to be slightly larger than British and Brahman-influenced breeds.
  - Maturing Constant: Near 0.0035 days<sup>-1</sup>
  - Maturity: British and Brahman-influenced breeds tended to be slightly larger than Continental breeds.
- All estimates were somewhat larger than published estimates from the 1980's and 1990's, potentially reflecting genetic trends.

## Future Work

- Calculating variance component estimates
- Deriving breed effects and maternal heterosis for mature weight

# Acknowledgements

- Advising committee
  - Dr. Ron Lewis (UNL)
  - Dr. Larry Kuehn (USMARC)
  - Dr. Matt Spangler (UNL)
- Collaborators at USMARC
  - Dr. Mark Thallman
  - Dr. Warren Snelling





USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## References

- Beck, P. A., C. B. Stewart, M. S. Gadberry, M. Haque & J. Biermacher, 2016. Effect of mature body weight and stocking rate on cow and calf performance, cow herd efficiency, and economics in the southeastern United States. J. Anim. Sci. 94:1689-1702.
- Cushman, R. A., M. F. Allan, R. M. Thallman & L. V. Cudiff. 2007. Characterization of biological types of cattle (Cycle VII): influence of postpartum interval and estrous cycle length on fertility. J. Anim. Sci. 85:2156-2162.
- DeNise, R. S. K. & J. S. Brinks, 1985. Genetic and environmental aspects of the growth curve parameters in beef cows. J. Anim. Sci. 61(6):1431-1440.
- Dib, M. G., L. D. Van Vleck & M. L. Spangler, 2010. Genetic analysis of mature size in American Angus cattle. 2010 Nebraska Beef Cattle Report. 23-30.
- DiCostanzo, A., J. C. Meiske, S. D. Plegge, T. M. Peters & R. D. Goodrich, 1990. Within-herd variation in energy utilization for maintenance and gain in beef cows. J. Anim. Sci. 68:2156-2165.
- Jenkins, T. G. & C. L. Ferrell, 1983. Nutrient requirements to maintain weight of mature, nonlactating, nonpregnant cows of four diverse breed types. J. Anim. Sci. 54(4):761-770.
- Kaps, M., W. O. Herring & W. R. Lambertson, 1999. Genetic and environmental parameters for mature weight in Angus cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 77:569-574.
- Kuehn, L. A. & R. M. Thallman, 2016. Across-breed EPD tables for the year 2016 adjusted to breed differences for birth year of 2014. 2016 Beef Improvement Federation annual meeting & symposium. 127-154.
- Muggeo, V. M. R. 2008. Segmented: An R package to fit regression models with broken-line relationships. R News, 8/1. 20-25. URL https://cran.rproject.org/doc/Rnews/
- Parks, J. R., 1982. A theory of feeding and growth of animals. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany. 322pp.
- R Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Taylor, St. C. S., 1965. A relation between mature weight and time taken to mature in mammals. Anim. Sci. 7:203-220.
- Wheeler, T. L., L. V. Cundiff, S. D. Shackelford. & M. Koohmaraie. 2005. Characterization of biological types of cattle (Cycle VII): carcass, yield, and longissimus palatability traits. J. Anim. Sci. 83:196-207.